UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,330	04/22/2005	Jean-Louis Assie	401/1/009	1760
170 RICHARD M. (	7590 02/11/200 GOLDBERG	EXAMINER		
25 EAST SALE		PICKETT, JOHN G		
SUITE 419 HACKENSAC	K, NJ 07601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/532,330	ASSIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	J. Gregory Pickett	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 No.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2005 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the o  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3728

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action acknowledges the applicant's amendment filed 19 November
 Claims 1 and 3-11 are pending in the application. Claim 2 has been canceled.
 Claim 11 is new.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 3, 5-8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (US 4,896,768) in view of Gruenbacher et al (US 6,547,468).

Claim 1: Anderson discloses a single-use packaging 10 comprising a protective cover made of two separable parts 12 & 16 of leak-proof material (Col. 3:5-9).

Anderson discloses an applicator 14 impregnated with a dose of substance and fixed on an inside face of the cover part 16, but does not disclose the applicator as a pouch with breakable blister.

Gruenbacher teaches an applicator comprising a pouch 10 with a first part 25 a second part 15 that is an applicator, and blister 12 housed within the parts of the pouch for the controlled release of the substance (see for example Col. 2:56-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 3728

to replace the applicator pad of Anderson with the applicator pouch of Gruenbacher in order to provide controlled release of the substance.

Claim 3: Gruenbacher discloses a pouch with a first part of flexible material 25 and a second part of flexible, porous material 15 united by closed junction 13/16 with blister 12 held captive between the parts. Anderson teaches the applicator fixed to the inside face of cover part 16. To enable application of the substance, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to mount the impermeable first part 25 of Gruenbacher to the inside face of Anderson.

Claims 5-7 and 11: Anderson teaches closed outline junction line 18/20/22/24 surrounding the applicator in an area significantly greater than the applicator area 20, which is substantially centered. Outline junction permits peelable separation and is a heat seal or adhesive (see for example Col. 9, lines 59-62). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to maintain this arrangement when the applicator pad 14 of Anderson is replaced with pouch 10 of Gruenbacher.

Claim 8: Anderson discloses pull-tabs (see Figures 1 and 2).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson-Gruenbacher as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Kerch et al (US 4,762,124).

Anderson-Gruenbacher as applied to claim 3 above, discloses the claimed invention except for the cotton wool inside the pouch.

Kerch teaches the provision of cotton wool 20 inside an applicator 10 with a permeable membrane 22 for the purpose of retaining large quantities of liquid in measured amounts (see for example Col. 4, lines 42-44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide cotton wool inside the pouch of Anderson-Gruenbacher in order to retain large quantities of liquid in measured amounts.

5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson-Gruenbacher as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fleury (US 6,695,515) and Frank (US 5,511,689).

Anderson-Gruenbacher, as applied to claim 1 above, discloses the claimed invention except for the adhesive dressing.

Fleury suggests the provision of an adhesive dressing D on an applicator package for covering a wound after it has been disinfected (see for example Col. 2, lines 16-18).

Frank teaches an adhesive dressing 1 and cover 4 applicable to the external surface of a film 6 with the outside surface of dressing 1 weakly secured to an inside face of cover 4; cover 4 is affixed to mounting film 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an adhesive dressing as taught by Frank to a package of Anderson-Gruenbacher for covering a wound after it has been disinfected as suggested by Fleury.

Art Unit: 3728

# Double Patenting

6. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/539,266 in view of Gruenbacher et al (US 6,547,468).

Claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/539,266 merely lack the breakable blister. Gruenbacher teaches an applicator comprising a pouch 10 with blister 12 housed within the pouch for the controlled release of the substance (see for example Col. 2, lines 56-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the applicator of claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/539,266 with a blister as taught by Gruenbacher in order to provide controlled release of the substance.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3728

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 571-272-4560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 11:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. Gregory Pickett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3728